



**REGIONAL PLANNING
GOVERNING BOARD**

**REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION
COMMISSION**



MEMBERS

Dave Aiazzi, Chair
Robert Larkin, Vice-Chair
John Breternitz
Mike Carrigan
Robert A. Cashell

Dwight Dortch
Pierre Hascheff
Ed Lawson
Geno Martini
Bonnie Weber

Sienna Reid, Interim Director

LIAISON - Dan Carne, WCSD Board of Trustees

MEMBERS

Ron Smith, Chair
Robert Larkin, Vice-Chair

Dan Gustin
Dave Aiazzi
Dave Humke

Lee Gibson, Executive Director

**MINUTES
REGIONAL PLANNING GOVERNING BOARD (RPGB) AND
REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION (RTC)
Joint Meeting
Thursday, October 13, 2011, 2:00 p.m.**

The Regional Planning Governing Board and the Regional Transportation Commission met in joint session in the City of Reno Council Chambers, One East First Street, Reno, Nevada, and conducted the following business:

The meeting was called to order by RPGB Chair Dave Aiazzi at 2:00 p.m.

1. ROLL CALL

A. The clerk called the roll, and RPGB Members in attendance were: Dave Aiazzi, Robert Larkin, John Breternitz, Robert Cashell, Pierre Hascheff (arrived at 2:01), Dwight Dortch, Ed Lawson, Geno Martini, and Bonnie Weber. Washoe County School District (WCSD) Board of Trustees Liaison, Dan Carne, was also present. RPGB Members absent: Mike Carrigan.

Truckee Meadows Regional Planning Agency (TMRPA) staff present: Rosanna Coombes, Director of Regional Planning; Norman Azevedo, Legal Counsel; Peter Gower; Paige Meniucci; Sienna Reid; Patricia Rogers; and Andy Simpson.

B. RTC Members in attendance were: Ron Smith, Robert Larkin, Robert Cashell for Dan Gustin, Dave Aiazzi, and John Breternitz for Dave Humke.

RTC Staff present: Lee Gibson, Executive Director; John Fowler, Legal Counsel; Amy Cummings, Dave Jickling, Tom Taelour, and Steve Burlie.

2. [For possible action] APPROVAL OF AGENDA

RPGB Chair Aiazzi asked that agenda item 5.C be heard before agenda item 5.B.

[Member Hascheff arrived at 2:01 p.m.]

MEMBER MARTINI MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AGENDA AS AMENDED, SECONDED BY MEMBER LARKIN. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH TEN (10) MEMBERS PRESENT.

3. PUBLIC COMMENT

None

4. BUSINESS OF THE DAY

None

5. JOINT MEETING ITEMS

- A. [For possible action] WORKSHOP – Discussion and possible action on the population and employment modeling program including, but not limited to:
1. Presentation and discussion on the preliminary results of the modeling work
 2. Discussion and possible direction on the impact of policy decisions on the modeling work
 3. Discussion and direction on the approval process for distribution of results to the Regional Transportation Commission and other users

Peter Gower, Senior Planner, began the workshop on the population and employment modeling program and reviewed information included in the staff report.

Paul Glasgow, Winston and Associates, reviewed information regarding allocation models.

Andy Simpson, Planning/GIS Analyst, reviewed information regarding the modeling results and growth trends that have been identified.

RPGB Chair Aiazzi commented on the location of high schools determining where growth occurs.

Mr. Simpson stated that Regional Planning staff has worked with staff from all jurisdictions to get their input and have taken the adopted zoning and land use plans and tried to model where future growth is going to go.

Member Martini asked if the models reflect what we want to have happen or what we think is going to happen. Mr. Simpson responded that it is a reflection of both and stated that the model uses policy and also the things that local jurisdiction staff think are going to happen because of market conditions.

Member Martini asked if the same criteria were used. Mr. Simpson responded that the local governments did mostly use the same criteria but that the policies in play in Reno are slightly different than the policies in play in Sparks. There are different zoning codes and different land use master plans.

Member Martini asked why the staffs don't work together and decide which criteria is the best fit for the region.

Rosanna Coombes, Director of Regional Planning, stated that there are a couple of issues here that need to be considered. One is that there are planning differences within different jurisdictions. The other aspect is the way policy is implemented in different jurisdictions can take on a different nature. Regional Planning staff has gone through a process over the last year working shoulder to shoulder with the staff of each of the jurisdictions trying to build in and use the information that the local staff know about their own jurisdiction and the way development occurs to build those assumptions into the modeling process. Some criteria are going to be different but there is a core set of common criteria that was used.