



REGIONAL PLANNING GOVERNING BOARD

MEMBERS

Mike Carrigan, Chair
Dave Aiazzi, Vice-Chair
John Breternitz
Robert A. Cashell
Dwight Dortch

Pierre Hascheff
Robert Larkin
Ed Lawson
Geno Martini
Bonnie Weber

Rosanna Coombes, Director

LIAISON – Dan Carne, WCSD Board of Trustees

MINUTES

REGIONAL PLANNING GOVERNING BOARD (RPGB)

Regular Meeting

Thursday, April 14, 2011, 2:00 p.m.

The Regional Planning Governing Board met in regular session in the Washoe County Commission Chambers, 1001 East Ninth Street, Reno, Nevada, and conducted the following business:

The meeting was called to order by Chair Mike Carrigan at 2:00 p.m.

1. ROLL CALL

The clerk called the roll, and RPGB Members in attendance were: Mike Carrigan, John Breternitz, Dwight Dortch, Ed Lawson, Geno Martini, and Bonnie Weber. Member Robert Larkin arrived at 2:22 p.m. WCSD Board of Trustees Liaison, Dan Carne, was also present.

RPGB Members absent: Dave Aiazzi, Robert Cashell, and Pierre Hascheff.

Truckee Meadows Regional Planning Agency (TMRPA) staff present: Rosanna Coombes, Director of Regional Planning; Norman Azevedo, Legal Counsel; Susan Fairfield; Peter Gower; Paige Menicucci; Sienna Reid; Patricia Rogers; and Andy Simpson.

2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Chair Carrigan stated that Member Larkin requested that agenda item 7.B be moved in order to be addressed at 2:15 p.m.

MEMBER MARTINI MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE AGENDA AS AMENDED, SECONDED BY MEMBER DORTCH. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH SIX (6) MEMBERS PRESENT.

3. SALUTE TO THE FLAG

Member Weber led the Pledge of Allegiance.

4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. February 10, 2011

MEMBER MARTINI MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES, SECONDED BY MEMBER BRETERNITZ. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH SIX (6) MEMBERS PRESENT.

5. PUBLIC COMMENT

Donna Keats stated that she had a follow-up comment about yesterday's City Council meeting. Ms. Keats stated that Councilwoman Sharon Zadra asked that she and her neighbor attend yesterday's meeting and make a presentation.

6. CONSENT AGENDA

- A. Consideration of and possible action on the 2011 legislative session strategy, including, but not limited to currently available Bill Draft Requests (BDRs) related to the program of regional planning.
- B. Consideration and possible acceptance of the 3rd quarterly report on the FY 10-11 budget and work program.
- C. Consideration and possible action on the revised TMRPA Records Retention Schedule.
- D. Consideration and possible approval of a fund addition to the financial system to account for Agency Capital Assets and related Depreciation and Accumulated Depreciation.

MEMBER WEBER MADE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE CONSENT AGENDA, SECONDED BY MEMBER BRETERNITZ. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY WITH SIX (6) MEMBERS PRESENT.

7. BUSINESS OF THE DAY

- C. Discussion and direction to staff regarding governance options for the program of regional planning, including but not limited to a status report from the RPGB Subcommittee on Collaboration and Governance.

Member Breternitz reported on today's subcommittee meeting. Two motions were made and approved unanimously by the subcommittee. The first motion was that discussions with the Western Regional Water Commission (WRWC) regarding collaboration not be pursued. The second motion was that the RPGB consider and ultimately make a recommendation that a joint meeting of Reno, Sparks, and Washoe County look at investigating alternative forms of local governance and structure.

Chair Carrigan asked if the subcommittee wanted it on a future agenda for the RPGB to take it to a joint meeting. Member Breternitz responded that they are requesting an agenda item which will allow the RPGB to provide input on alternatives and staff would then investigate those alternatives and provide pros and cons.

Member Weber asked if the RPGB could have any conversation today about the possibility of bringing it to the legislature that is now in session instead of waiting until June for this to be on an RPGB agenda.

There was discussion regarding the deadline to bring anything before the legislature and the timeline for the process of reviewing alternatives.